IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

WRIT PETITION NO : 3745 of 2005

Between:

1.G. Sharadha, W/o. Krishnaiah, R/o. H.No. 6-7-141, Ganeshnagar,

Gandhi Nagar, Nalgonda Town and District.
2.G. Krishnaiah, S/o. Veeraiah, R/o. H.No. 6-7-141, Ganeshnagar,

Gandhi Nagar, Nalgonda Town and District.
3.G. Kiran Kumar, S/o. Krishnaiah, R/o. H.No. 6-7-141, Ganeshnagar,

Gandhi Nagar, Nalgonda Town and District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Superintendent of Police, Nalgonda District.
2 The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Nalgonda.
3 The Station House Officer, Nakrekal, Nalgonda District.
4 K. Shailaja, W/o. Tulasidas, R/o. C/o. Prasanna Tailors,

SLBC Colony, Nalgonda Town.
5 Eddu Venkanna, S/o. Kanakaiah, R/o. C/o. Prasanna Tailors,

SLBC Colony, Nalgonda Town.
6 Eddu Yadagiri, S/o. Veeraiah, R/o. C/o. Prasanna Tailors,

SLBC Colony, Nalgonda Town.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order or orders more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the Respondent No. 1 and the action of Respondents No. 2 to 3 herein as illegal, arbitrary, violation of Art.21 of Constitution of India and violation of principles of natural justice and to pass such other order or orders as this

Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.KIRAN PALAKURTHI

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 to 3: GP FOR HOME

The Court at the admission stage made the following:

-

-

ORAL ORDER:.

Heard both sides.

2. The complaint of the petitioners is that the third respondent, in connivance with the second respondent, is pressurizing the petitioners to pay a sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- to the fourth respondent.

3. From a perusal of the affidavit filed in support of the petition, it appears that there are some family disputes between the petitioners and un-official respondents.

4. A counter affidavit has been filed by the respondents 1 to 3, denying the averments made by the petitioners in the affidavit, filed in support of the writ petition and stating *inter alia*, that no such demand has ever been made by the second respondent, as alleged by the petitioners.

5. Having regard to the specific denial by the respondents with regard to the complaint made by the petitioners, I see no reason to entertain this Writ Petition and accordingly, the same is dismissed, at the admission stage. No costs.

_____

D.S.R. VARMA, J

DATED: 14.03.2005

Ka

To

1 The Superintendent of Police, Nalgonda District.
2 The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Nalgonda.
3 The Station House Officer, Nakrekal, Nalgonda District.
4. 2 CCs to G.P. for Home, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad. (OUT)

5. 2 CD Copies.